

Mark R Bakker<sup>1,2</sup> & L Augusto<sup>2,1</sup>







<sup>1</sup> Bordeaux Sciences Agro, UMR1391 ISPA, F-33175 Gradignan, France; <sup>2</sup> INRA, UMR1391 ISPA, F-33140 Villenave d'Ornon, France

## □ Semantics ( ~ introduction)

- □ Semantics ( ~ introduction)
- □ Mostly pine, mostly forest ( ~ site description)

- □ Semantics ( ~ introduction)
- □ Mostly pine, mostly forest ( ~ site description)
- □ A priori checklist of risks (~ methods)

- □ Semantics ( ~ introduction)
- □ Mostly pine, mostly forest ( ~ site description)
- □ A priori checklist of risks ( ~ methods)
- □ What do we know? (~ results & discussion)

- □ Semantics ( ~ introduction)
- □ Mostly pine, mostly forest ( ~ site description)
- □ A priori checklist of risks ( ~ methods)
- □ What do we know? (~ results & discussion)
- □ A posteriori list of threats (~ conclusion)

- □ Semantics ( ~ introduction)
- □ Mostly pine, mostly forest ( ~ site description)
- □ A priori checklist of risks ( ~ methods)
- □ What do we know? (~ results & discussion)
- □ A posteriori list of threats (~ conclusion)

« expertise-like approach » ( ~ i.e. no time to do better than that)

□ Semantics ( ~ introduction)

- Regrouped together
- □ Mostly pine, mostly forest ( ~ site description)
- □ A priori checklist of risks ( ~ methods)
- □ What do we know? (~ results & discussion)
- □ A posteriori list of threats (~ conclusion)

### Land Degradation:

"the reduction in the capacity of the land to provide ecosystem goods and services, over a period of time, for its beneficiaries". FAO, LADA project, 2013

### Land Degradation:

"the reduction in the capacity of the land to provide ecosystem goods and services, over a period of time, for its beneficiaries". FAO, LADA project, 2013

"Ecosystem goods" are products of land which have an economic and/or social value: they include land availability, animal and plant production, soil health and water quantity and quality.

**"Ecosystem services"** include biodiversity and the maintenance of hydrological, nutrient and carbon cycles.

### Land Degradation:

"the reduction in the capacity of the land to provide ecosystem goods and services, over a period of time, for its beneficiaries". FAO, LADA project, 2013

"Ecosystem goods" are products of land which have an economic and/or social value: they include land availability, animal and plant production, soil health and water quantity and quality.

**"Ecosystem services"** include biodiversity and the maintenance of hydrological, nutrient and carbon cycles.

Land degradation is not necessarily confined to biophysical effects, nor is it limited to human-induced phenomena, but also includes natural impacts and effects.

# Awareness about land degradation of land under forest cover: some old – Atlantic – evidence



# Awareness about land degradation of land under forest cover: some old – Atlantic – evidence



« ecosystem
goods and
services » in
the good old
time



































# .... in this case, awareness alone was not enough ...











.... in this case, awareness alone was not enough ...

### what about Aquitaine in 2014?







SW France



Dordogne

Landes

Pyrenees



Often indicated as 'triangle' Landais



### SW France



- pine forests > 90%;
- monospecific
- clearcut systems
- 40-60 yr rotations
- private owners



Dordogne

Landes

Pyrenees



Often indicated as 'triangle' Landais



### SW France



- pine forests > 90%;
- monospecific
- clearcut systems
- 40-60 yr rotations
- private owners





Landes

Pyrenees



sandy, podzols and arenosols

 nutrient poor, rather flat, few streams



Often indicated as 'triangle' Landais



### A priori checklist of risks<sup>1</sup>

 Biomass – land cover: is the area covered by vegetation? Is the biomass of this vegetation stable or decreasing? ~link with production (if production increases does that put constrains on vegetation cover in the longer run)?

### A priori checklist of risks<sup>1</sup>

- Biomass land cover: is the area covered by vegetation? Is the biomass of this vegetation stable or decreasing? ~link with production (if production increases does that put constrains on vegetation cover in the longer run)?
- Soil health: levels of contamination, fertility, erosion, compaction, SOM degradation, salinization, occurrence of land slides

### A priori checklist of risks<sup>1</sup>

- Biomass land cover: is the area covered by vegetation? Is the biomass of this vegetation stable or decreasing? ~link with production (if production increases does that put constrains on vegetation cover in the longer run)?
- Soil health: levels of contamination, fertility, erosion, compaction, SOM degradation, salinization, occurrence of land slides
- Water resources: quality, quantity of this resource, riparian vegetation ~ link to climate

### A priori checklist of risks<sup>1</sup>

- Biomass land cover: is the area covered by vegetation? Is the biomass of this vegetation stable or decreasing? ~link with production (if production increases does that put constrains on vegetation cover in the longer run)?
- Soil health: levels of contamination, fertility, erosion, compaction, SOM degradation, salinization, occurrence of land slides
- Water resources: quality, quantity of this resource, riparian vegetation ~ link to climate
- Biodiversity
- Economic productivity
- Social and cultural services

### A priori checklist of risks<sup>1</sup>

- Biomass land cover: is the area covered by vegetation? Is the biomass of this vegetation stable or decreasing? ~link with production (if production increases does that put constrains on vegetation cover in the longer run)?
- Soil health: levels of contamination, fertility, erosion, compaction, SOM degradation, salinization, occurrence of land slides
- Water resources: quality, quantity of this resource, riparian vegetation ~ link to climate
- Biodiversity
- Economic productivity
- Social and cultural services

#### => we'll add some 'what do we know' to these items

<sup>1</sup>Nachtergaele et al. 2012 Land degradation- SOLAW Background Thematic Report 3

### A priori checklist of risks<sup>1</sup>

- Biomass land cover: is the area covered by vegetation? Is the biomass of this vegetation stable or decreasing? ~link with production (if production increases does that put constrains on vegetation cover in the longer run)?
- Soil health: levels of contamination, fertility, erosion, compaction, SOM degradation, salinization, occurrence of land slides
- Water resources: quality, quantity of this resource, riparian vegetation ~ link to climate
- Biodiversity
- Economic productivity
- Social and cultural services

#### => we'll add some 'what do we know' to these items

<sup>1</sup>Nachtergaele et al. 2012 Land degradation- SOLAW Background Thematic Report 3

### A priori checklist of risks<sup>1</sup>

- Biomass land cover: is the area covered by vegetation? Is the biomass of this vegetation stable or decreasing? ~link with production (if production increases does that put constrains on vegetation cover in the longer run)?
- Soil health: levels of contamination, fertility, erosion, compaction, SOM degradation, salinization, occurrence of land slides
- Water resources: quality, quantity of this resource, riparian vegetation ~ link to climate
- Biodiversity
- Economic productivity
- Social and cultural services

#### => we'll add some 'what do we know' to these items

<sup>1</sup>Nachtergaele et al. 2012 Land degradation- SOLAW Background Thematic Report 3

# What do we know?

Or what do we think we know

# About threats to the forests in Aquitaine





<sup>1</sup>Pottier A (2013) La forêt des Landes de Gascogne comme patrimoine naturel? Echelles, enjeux, valeurs. PhD Thesis.



 Forest fires in the 1940s (see b) : destroyed >150 000 ha in 1949 alone (risk could increase, but so far prevention measurements efficient)



- Forest fires
- Transformation to other land use: agriculture (see c; > 100 000 ha), but also urbanisation, solar energy farms, infrastructure): ongoing



- Forest fires
- Transformation to other land use:
- Storms: 1883, 1915, 1976, 1999, 2009: 238 000 ha in 1999, 600 000 ha affected 2009, occurrence predicted to increase (due to CC)



- Forest fires
- Transformation to other land use
- Storms
- Severe frosts (1956, 1963, 1985): 100 000 ha affected in 1963, 30 to 50 000 ha 1985



- Forest fires
- Transformation to other land use
- Storms
- Severe frosts
- Drought: 2003 very severe, weakening the forest (expected to increase; CC)



- Forest fires
- Transformation to other land use
- Storms
- Severe frosts
- Drought
- **Pests** (scolytes, processionary moths..... Pine nematodes expected (monoculture is a risk)



- Forest fires
- Transformation to other land use
- Storms
- Severe frosts
- Drought
- Pests

#### Land cover / biomass will probably decrease ....

#### ..... perhaps productivity after a while as well (water, nutrients, events) ..... and thus economic productivity (viability of the system)

<sup>1</sup>Pottier A (2013) La forêt des Landes de Gascogne comme patrimoine naturel? Echelles, enjeux, valeurs. PhD Thesis.

• Soils are primarily limiting in P, in the dry moorlands and dunes in N and locally in K or Mg (Augusto et al. 2010). P fertilization is used since the 1960s (Trichet et al. 2009)

• Soils are primarily limiting in P, in the dry moorlands and dunes in N and locally in K or Mg (Augusto et al. 2010). P fertilization is used since the 1960s (Trichet et al. 2009)



after Achat et al. 2009 Biogeochemistry

- Soils are primarily limiting in P, locally N
- Harvest intensity: for increasing intensity (stem only > stem plus branches > whole tree > whole tree plus variable part of the root system) a 30 to 57 % increase of nutrient exportations has been estimated (Augusto et al. accepted). This is not sustainable.

#### **Example 1: comparison of three harvest types**

### **Example 2: comparison including root system harvests**

#### Harvest scenarios:

S + R: (stem + coarse roots); S + B + F: (stem+branches+foliage) S + B: (stem+branches);



Increase of exports (in % compared to stem-only harvest)

From Augusto et al. GCB-Bioenergy in press



- Soils are primarily limiting in P, locally N
- Harvest intensity: for increasing intensity, losses can be substantial
- Silvicultural management (clear cuts or thinning with machinery) did not result in measurable compaction (Dousseron 2006) but resulted in redistribution of organic matter

- Soils are primarily limiting in P, locally N
- Harvest intensity: for increasing intensity, losses can be substantial
- Silvicultural management: no compaction but OM distribution
- **Tillage** (labour of the inter tree rangs within the first few years after planting) could **damage roots** (resulting in problems for **wind stability**, work F Danjon)

- Soils are primarily limiting in P, locally N
- Harvest intensity: for increasing intensity, losses can be substantial
- Silvicultural management: no compaction but OM distribution
- **Tillage**: root damage, then wind stability problems
- Related to increased droughts (due to CC): organic matter could degrade faster, perhaps leading to more risks for erosion (even though most of the area is flat) by water and perhaps wind; adaptive management necessary? NB: soils are only sandy (no clay), so water holding capacity strongly depends on organic matter

- Soils are primarily limiting in P, locally N
- Harvest intensity: for increasing intensity, losses can be substantial
- Silvicultural management: no compaction but OM distribution
- **Tillage**: root damage, then wind stability problems
- Increased droughts: faster OM degradation?
- Very locally (coastal or continental dunes, along river valleys), direct erosion may occur, but often these zones have different management objectives, decreasing such risks; *overall erosion is no issue*.

- Soils are primarily limiting in P, locally N
- Harvest intensity: for increasing intensity, losses can be substantial
- Silvicultural management: no compaction but OM distribution
- **Tillage**: root damage, then wind stability problems
- Increased droughts: faster OM degradation?
- Very locally: some direct erosion, but not general issue.
- Contamination: no issue so far, but trace metal elements concentrate in wood ash (product of the wood industry after combustion => where and how this may be used in the forest is an issue and may pollute the forest (Augusto et al. 2008);
- also experiments with waste water / sludge are ongoing (and may lead to authorisation of such practices in the forest).
- Land slides: no issue

Augusto L, Bakker MR and C Meredieu (2008) Wood ash applications to temperate forest ecosystems —potential benefits and drawbacks. Plant Soil 306 :181–198.

- Soils are primarily limiting in P, locally N
- Harvest intensity: for increasing intensity, losses can be substantial
- Silvicultural management: no compaction but OM distribution
- **Tillage**: root damage, then wind stability problems
- Increased droughts: faster OM degradation?
- Very locally: some direct erosion, but not general issue.
- **Contamination**: no issue yet, but potentially in the future.
- Land slides: no issue

Soil health: due to limited fertility, intensification of harvests may lead to lower potential productivity. In future perhaps trace metal pollution

**Risk that this happens = high.** 

 There have been some suggestions that water availability (based on precipitation level, depth of water table) is already decreasing in Aquitaine

#### Water resources

- There have been some suggestions that water availability (based on precipitation level, depth of water table) is already decreasing in Aquitaine
- Models predict T increase and differences in precipitation pattern (for higher CO<sub>2</sub> level, higher T and longer growing season you would need more water, unless water efficiency adapts to that)

#### Water resources

- There have been some suggestions that water availability (based on precipitation level, depth of water table) is already decreasing in Aquitaine
- Models predict T increase and differences in precipitation pattern (for higher CO<sub>2</sub> level, higher T and longer growing season you would need more water, unless water efficiency adapts to that)
- Agriculture (maize cropping, deep ditches) results in drainage (and contributes to *lowering the water table*)

#### Water resources

- There have been some suggestions that water availability (based on precipitation level, depth of water table) is already decreasing in Aquitaine
- Models predict T increase and differences in precipitation pattern (for higher CO<sub>2</sub> level, higher T and longer growing season you would need more water, unless water efficiency adapts to that)
- Agriculture (maize cropping, deep ditches) results in drainage

So, water is a <u>key production factor</u> (lesser growth on drier sites or on sites with drainage through agriculture), presumably (despite uncertainties related to model predictions) <u>of increasing importance</u>

• The forest is **rather monospecific** (for the tree species), but some uncommon species (birds like Nightjar, Dartmoor Warbler, Short-toed Eagle, Hoopoe) are well represented in this forest range

- The forest is **rather monospecific** (for the tree species), but some uncommon species (birds like Nightjar, Dartmoor Warbler, Short-toed Eagle, Hoopoe) are well represented in this forest range
- European mink has one of its last strongholds in this area as well (related to riparian environments)

- The forest is **rather monospecific** (for the tree species), but some uncommon species (birds like Nightjar, Dartmoor Warbler, Short-toed Eagle, Hoopoe) are well represented in this forest range
- European mink has one of its last strongholds in this area as well (related to riparian environments)
- The coastal dunes presumably deserve a special mention (not only under forest), as well as *streams* and *dune lakes*

- The forest is **rather monospecific** (for the tree species), but some uncommon species (birds like Nightjar, Dartmoor Warbler, Short-toed Eagle, Hoopoe) are well represented in this forest range
- European mink has one of its last strongholds in this area as well (related to riparian environments)
- The coastal dunes presumably deserve a special mention (not only under forest), as well as streams and dune lakes
- No idea about plant or insect biodiversity

- The forest is **rather monospecific** (for the tree species), but some uncommon species (birds like Nightjar, Dartmoor Warbler, Short-toed Eagle, Hoopoe) are well represented in this forest range
- European mink has one of its last strongholds in this area as well (related to riparian environments)
- The coastal dunes presumably deserve a special mention (not only under forest), as well as streams and dune lakes
- No idea about plant or insect biodiversity

 Likely (personal opinion) that if the forest persists, the biodiversity that goes with it as well

- The forest is **rather monospecific** (for the tree species), but some uncommon species (birds like Nightjar, Dartmoor Warbler, Short-toed Eagle, Hoopoe) are well represented in this forest range
- European mink has one of its last strongholds in this area as well (related to riparian environments)
- The coastal dunes presumably deserve a special mention (not only under forest), as well as streams and dune lakes
- No idea about plant or insect biodiversity

- Likely (personal opinion) that if the forest persists, the biodiversity that goes with it as well
- Perhaps replacement of Pinus pinaster by **other species** could create additional habitat (increase of biodiversity), even though specific species of this environment may suffer (loss of target species?)

- The forest is **rather monospecific** (for the tree species), but some uncommon species (birds like Nightjar, Dartmoor Warbler, Short-toed Eagle, Hoopoe) are well represented in this forest range
- European mink has one of its last strongholds in this area as well (related to riparian environments)
- The coastal dunes presumably deserve a special mention (not only under forest), as well as streams and dune lakes
- No idea about plant or insect biodiversity

- Likely (personal opinion) that if the forest persists, the biodiversity that goes with it as well
- Perhaps replacement of Pinus pinaster by other species could create additional habitat (increase of biodiversity), even though specific species of this environment may suffer (loss of target species?)

### For the moment not a particular issue

• As long as the **forestry sector stays** economically attractive, the **forest will remain** (so no degradation of the forest)

- As long as the forestry sector stays economically attractive, the forest will remain (so no degradation of the forest)
- But, interests of the wood industry (continuous need of supply) may be conflicting with the interests of the land owners / managers (i.e. intensive harvests, short rotation systems may suit the industry but not the owner; sustainable from one's perspective, but not from the other's)

- As long as the forestry sector stays economically attractive, the forest will remain (so no degradation of the forest)
- But, interests of the wood industry (continuous need of supply) may be conflicting with the interests of the land owners / managers (i.e. intensive harvests, short rotation systems may suit the industry but not the owner; sustainable from one's perspective, but not from the other's)
- Extreme events (storm of 2009) have shown the vulnerability of the sector (employment, maintaining the wood sector going.....)

- As long as the forestry sector stays economically attractive, the forest will remain (so no degradation of the forest)
- But, interests of the wood industry (continuous need of supply) may be conflicting with the interests of the land owners / managers (i.e. intensive harvests, short rotation systems may suit the industry but not the owner; sustainable from one's perspective, but not from the other's)
- Extreme events (storm of 2009) have shown the vulnerability of the sector (employment, maintaining the wood sector going.....)

### If economics weak, then degradation possible

- Quite some people employed in the wood sector, living in the area and attached to the forest in their surroundings
- People use it for leisure and mushroom collection
- Some studies on human well being
- Promotion of the attractivity of the region (coast + quiet forest) in the sense of tourism

- Quite some people employed in the wood sector, living in the area and attached to the forest in their surroundings
- People use it for leisure and mushroom collection
- Some studies on human well being
- Promotion of the attractivity of the region (coast + quiet forest) in the sense of tourism

### Presumably service level ok as long as forest persists

### Conclusions

At present land degradation (i.e. threatening the Pinus pinaster production forests with all the assets related to that) is not a main issue in Aquitaine

Main risks would include:

### Conclusions

At present land degradation (i.e. threatening the Pinus pinaster production forests with all the assets related to that) is not a main issue in Aquitaine

### Main risks would include:

- Loss of biomass (land cover) due to transformation to other land uses (frequent fires or storms would accelerate this; new pests such as nematodes could be a disaster)
- <u>Decrease in the soil health function</u> (at least nutrient level in case of increased harvests)
- Increased occurrences of water stress and or water limit growth All may lead to lesser production (economic production function potentially under pressure)

### Conclusions

Erosion, pollution, soil compaction seem of negligible effect

Biodiversity, Social and cultural services: presumably proportional to the amount of forest cover remaining



Do we need to protect against the wood sector or does the forest need the sector?